

**Student IA Evaluation for Sean C. Wong  
Division of Biological Sciences**

BICD 136 - AIDS Science and Society (Gustafson-Brown, Cindy)  
Winter 2019

**Number of Evaluations Submitted: 10**  
**Number of Students Enrolled: 195**

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

3 (30.0%): Strongly Agree  
4 (40.0%): Agree  
1 (10.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
1 (10.0%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
1 (10.0%): Not Applicable

2. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

5 (50.0%): Strongly Agree  
3 (30.0%): Agree  
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
1 (10.0%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
1 (10.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

2 (22.2%): Strongly Agree  
4 (44.4%): Agree  
1 (11.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
1 (11.1%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
1 (11.1%): Not Applicable  
1: [No Response]

4. The Instructional Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

4 (44.4%): Strongly Agree  
1 (11.1%): Agree  
2 (22.2%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
1 (11.1%): Strongly Disagree  
1 (11.1%): Not Applicable  
1: [No Response]

5. Feedback from the Instructional Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

4 (44.4%): Strongly Agree  
2 (22.2%): Agree  
1 (11.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
2 (22.2%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable  
1: [No Response]

6. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

3 (33.3%): Strongly Agree  
4 (44.4%): Agree  
2 (22.2%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable  
1: [No Response]

7. The Instructional Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

3 (33.3%): Strongly Agree  
5 (55.6%): Agree  
1 (11.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable  
1: [No Response]

8. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

3 (33.3%): Strongly Agree  
4 (44.4%): Agree  
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
2 (22.2%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable  
1: [No Response]

9. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

4 (44.4%): Strongly Agree  
4 (44.4%): Agree  
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
1 (11.1%): Not Applicable  
1: [No Response]

10. The Instructional Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

3 (33.3%): Strongly Agree  
5 (55.6%): Agree  
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
1 (11.1%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable  
1: [No Response]

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Instructional Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

7 (77.8%): No issues  
0 (0.0%): Too quiet  
0 (0.0%): Too loud  
1 (11.1%): Too fast  
0 (0.0%): Too slow  
0 (0.0%): Poor grammar and/or English language skills  
0 (0.0%): Used filler words such as "um"  
1 (11.1%): Other (please describe)  
• minimal communication could be due to style of discussion leading.  
Students were engaging more than the instructor was teaching

12. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

3 (33.3%): Strongly Agree  
2 (22.2%): Agree  
2 (22.2%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
2 (22.2%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
1: [No Response]

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Instructional Assistant.

*Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community*

- He replied to my emails very quickly.
- He was able to answer my questions effectively, and when he couldn't, he was quick to get back to me about the information I needed.
- Sean was great at responding to emails swiftly, and addressing any concerns regarding the course and assignments.
- Very accessible and easy to find and talk to. Also very knowledgeable and nice.
- very clear and straightforward

14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Instructional Assistant.

*Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community*

- Did not provide ample resources for different learning styles, Re-lecturing and teaching would have been beneficial for the Virology/Immunology Sections of the course. Not everyone benefits from individual or group work as we practiced
- more activities or review on the content
- Not applicable

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

*Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community*

- Keep doing what you're doing.
- Total watermelon.
- You're probably very brilliant, and you have taken the course before. Next time incorporate your tips and advice as directly as possible for other student's success as well! :)

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Division of Biological Sciences, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.